	Case 2:03-cv-00161-RSL Document 167 Filed 05/02/05 Page 1 of 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7	AT SEATTLE
8	
9	AT&T WIRELESS SERVICES, INC., Case No. C03-0161L
10	Plaintiff,) v.
11	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P., ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RENOTE MOTION IN LIMINE
12) REGARDING DANIEL BURNS Defendant.)
13	<u> </u>
14	This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's "Motion to Move Noting Date of
15	Defendant's Motion in Limine re: Daniel Burns." Dkt. # 153. Plaintiff has not articulated any
16	reasons to assume that the admissibility of Mr. Burns' testimony under <u>Daubert v. Merrell Dow</u>
17	Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 479 (1993), is contingent on the opinions of defendant's
18	damages expert. The motion to continue defendant's motion is, therefore, DENIED. Plaintiff
19	shall file its opposition memorandum, if any, on or before May 3, 2005. Defendant shall file its
20	reply, if any, on or before May 5, 2005.
21	
22	DATED this 2nd day of May, 2005.
23	$M_{\bullet} \sim C / c$
24	MMS (asuk) Robert S. Lasnik
25	United States District Judge
26	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
	RENOTE MOTION IN LIMINE